

A Lexical Distance Study of Arabic Dialects

Kathrein Abu Kwaik (Chatrine Qwaider)¹ Motaz Saad²
Stergios Chatzikyriakidis¹ Simon Dobnik¹

¹ CLASP, Department of Philosophy, Linguistics and Theory of Science (FLoV),
University of Gothenburg, Sweden

²The Islamic University of Gaza, Gaza, Palestine

13th Feb 2019

- ▶ Building a Linguistic resource for the Levantine dialects (Palestinian, Jordanian, Syrian, Lebanese)

Objective

- ▶ Building a Linguistic resource for the Levantine dialects (Palestinian, Jordanian, Syrian, Lebanese)
- ▶ Conduct a computational cross dialectal lexical distance study to measure the similarities and differences between dialects and the MSA

- ▶ Building a Linguistic resource for the Levantine dialects (Palestinian, Jordanian, Syrian, Lebanese)
- ▶ Conduct a computational cross dialectal lexical distance study to measure the similarities and differences between dialects and the MSA
- ▶ Highlight the lexical relation between the MSA and Dialectal Arabic (DA) in more than one Arabic region

- ▶ Building a Linguistic resource for the Levantine dialects (Palestinian, Jordanian, Syrian, Lebanese)
- ▶ Conduct a computational cross dialectal lexical distance study to measure the similarities and differences between dialects and the MSA
- ▶ Highlight the lexical relation between the MSA and Dialectal Arabic (DA) in more than one Arabic region
- ▶ A basis for building NLP tools for dialectal processing by adapting MSA tools and focusing on areas of similarity and degrees of difference

I don't want...
/la oreed/ = لا أريد
/mush awez/ = مش عاوز
/ma baddi/ = ما بدي
/ma abgha/ = ما أبغي

<https://middleeasttransparent.com/en/diglossia/arabic-dialects/>

- ▶ Diglossia is a very common phenomenon in Arabic-speaking communities, where the spoken language is different from both Classical Arabic (CA) and Modern Standard Arabic (MSA)
- ▶ The spoken language is characterised as a number of dialects used in everyday communication as well as informal writing

Classification of Arabic Language

- ▶ The Classical Arabic: the language of the Holy Quran
- ▶ The Modern Standard Arabic: the formal spoken and written language
- ▶ The Dialectal Arabic: the informal spoken variety and nowadays an informal written language (as: social media)

Building Levantine Corpus SHAMI

- ▶ the first Levantine dialect corpus that contains the largest volume of data separated as individual Levantine dialects
- ▶ it is not a crafted and also not a parallel corpus; it contains real conversations as written in social media and blogs;
- ▶ it includes several topics from regular conversations such as politics, education, society and others;
- ▶ SDC has been created from scratch by collecting Levantine data through automatic and manual approaches.

- ▶ Automatic Collection
 - ▶ collect IDS for activist and some public Figures
 - ▶ we use *tweepy* to collect tweets and replies from these IDs.
 - ▶ extract data according to geographical location.
- ▶ Manual Collection
 - ▶ We harvest the web and choose online dialectal blogs and forums in Levantine countries.
- ▶ Overall, this gives us sentences of various lengths.

- ▶ Remove diacritics: ّ Tashdid, َ a Fatha, ً an Tanwin Fath.
- ▶ Remove non Arabic words, Latin characters, numbers and dates, emoticons, and symbols.

- ▶ Normalization: there is no standard orthography for Arabic dialects. we implement finer rules that work more reliably and preserve the semantic meaning of the text, for example:
 1. Aleph: we only convert Aleph with an accent أ *a* to Aleph without an accent ا *ā* if it appears at the beginning of the word. This is because we want to mark the accent in other contexts in order to preserve the meaning of dialectal words. For example, (هلاًّ *hla'* / now) from (هلاّ *hlā'* / Hello).
 2. Alef Maqsora (ى *ā*) at the end of the word: in most processing steps the letter (ى *ā*) is converted to a (ي *y*), but we did not do so because a lot of words would change the meaning. For example: (على *ā* / on preposition) and (عليّ *y* / Ali / a personal name).

- ▶ Remove repeated characters: (for example Waaaaaaw).
 1. We extract all words containing repeated characters in MSA texts and keep them in a list.
 2. All words containing duplicate characters from the previous list are abbreviated to two characters.
 3. The rest of the characters are reduced to only one character, for example the repeating character و *w* in (مبروووووك) *mbrwwwwwk* / congratulation) is converted to (مبروك) *mbrwk* / congratulation).
 4. The conjunction letter (و *w* / and), We postulated that if the given word begins with more than one (و *w*), the first (و *w*) and the rest of the word are separated and the original word is processed according to the previous algorithm.

Shami Corpus			
	sentences	tokens	types
Jordanian	32 K	0.47 M	69 K
Palestinian	21 K	0.35 M	56 K
Syrian	48 K	0.7 M	63 K
Lebanese	16 K	0.2 M	34 K
Total	117 K	1.72 M	222 K

Table: Statistics for SDC



Gulf (Aish)

Egypt (Aish)



<https://www.aljamila.com/node/118751/>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ubC9j1xrNTU>

CLASP

centre for
linguistic theory
and studies in probability

على كيفاك
ALĀ KAIFAK

Palestine, Egypt → As you like

Syria → Perfect

Iraq → Take your time

<https://twitter.com/alakaifakco>

Qualitative differences between MSA and DA

There are qualitative differences at all levels of linguistic representations:

1. Orthographical and Phonological Differences
2. Morphological Differences
3. Syntactic Differences
4. Lexical and Semantic differences

Orthographical and Phonological Differences

- ▶ Dialectal Arabic (DA) does not have an established standard orthography like MSA.
- ▶ Arabic script and the Latin alphabet is used for writing short messages or posting on social media, For example, كيفك *kyfk* / “how are you” is represented as Keifk.

Orthographical and Phonological Differences

- ▶ Dialectal Arabic (DA) does not have an established standard orthography like MSA.
- ▶ Arabic script and the Latin alphabet is used for writing short messages or posting on social media, For example, كيفك *kyfk* / “how are you” is represented as Keifk.
- ▶ Pronunciation of dialectal words containing the letter ق *q* which depends on the dialect and regions. For instance, the Palestinian speakers from rural and urban regions pronounce it like /ʔ/ glottal stop or /k/ while Bedouin pronounce it as /g/ .
 - ▶ The word قال *qāl* /say is pronounced and sometimes written as قال *qāl* , كال *kāl* , ئال *yāl* or جال *ǧāl*

Morphological Differences

- ▶ There are some important differences between MSA and dialectal Arabic in terms of morphology because of the way of using these clitics, particles and affixes

Example	Dialect word	Dialect	MSA	English
Using multiple words together	كيفك <i>kyfk</i>	Levantine	كيف حالك <i>kyf hālk</i>	How are you?
	معلش <i>m'š</i>	Egyptian	لا يهم <i>lā yhm</i>	Does not matter
Sharing the stem with different affixes	مبدرش <i>mbdrsš</i>	Palestinian	لا يدرس <i>lā ydrs</i>	He does not study
	ما يبدرس <i>mā bydrs</i>	Syrian		
	مبيدرش <i>mbydrsš</i>	Egyptian		
The future marker	راح <i>h, rāh</i>	Palestinian	سوف <i>swf</i>	will
	حيلعب <i>h yl'ḅ</i>		سوف يلعب <i>swf yl'ḅ</i>	He will play
	راح يلعب <i>rāh yl'ḅ</i>			
Clitics	ب <i>b</i> for present			
	بياكل <i>byākl</i>	Egyptian	ياكل <i>yakl</i>	He is eating
	عم بطبخ <i>m b'ḅh</i>	Syrian	أنا أطبخ <i>anā ṭaḅh</i>	I am cooking

In regarding to S(Subject) , V(verb), O(Object) order in the sentence.

Lexical and Semantic differences

MSA أعرف <i>ʿarf</i>	English know	Negation لا أعرف <i>lā ʿarf</i>	English Don't know
Palestinian مش عارف <i>mš ʿarf</i>	Jordanian مش عارف <i>mš ʿarf</i>	Syrian ما بعرف <i>mā bʿrif</i>	Lebanese ما بعرف <i>mā bʿrif</i>
Egyptian معرفة <i>mʿfʿ</i>	Algerian مش نعرف <i>mš nʿf</i>	Tunisian ميش عارف <i>mnyš ʿarf</i>	
Gulf مدري <i>mdry</i>	Iraqi ما أدري <i>mā ʿadry</i>		

Figure: Differences in negation between the dialects

Lexical and Semantic differences

MSA أعرف <i>ʿarf</i>	English know	Negation لا أعرف <i>lā ʿarf</i>	English Don't know
Palestinian مش عارف <i>mš ʿarf</i>	Jordanian مش عارف <i>mš ʿarf</i>	Syrian ما بعرف <i>mā bʿrif</i>	Lebanese ما بعرف <i>mā bʿrif</i>
Egyptian معرفة <i>mʿrfā</i>	Algerian مش نعرف <i>mš nʿrf</i>	ملبعاليش <i>mlbʿalyš</i>	Tunisian منيش عارف <i>mnyš ʿarf</i>
Gulf مدري <i>mdry</i>	Iraqi ما أدري <i>mā ʿadry</i>		

Figure: Differences in negation between the dialects

MSA الآن <i>ālʿān</i>	English Now		
Levantine هلاً، هلقيت <i>hlʿa, hlqyt</i>	Bedouin هلحين <i>hlhyn</i>	Saudi Arabia دحين <i>dhyn</i>	Iraqi هالوقت <i>hālwt</i>
Libyan توا <i>twā</i>	Tunisian توة <i>twḥ</i>	Algerian توا <i>twā</i>	Egyptian دلوقت، دلوقتي، دلوقت <i>dlwqṭy, dlwqṭ</i>

Figure: Examples for new lexicon in dialects

Lexical and Semantic differences

Word	Original	MSA	English	Word	Original	MSA	English
طريزة <i>ṭrbyzh</i>	Turkish	طاولة <i>ṭāwḷh</i>	Table	بندورة <i>bndwrh</i>	Italian	طماطم <i>ṭmāṭm</i>	Tomatoes
أستاذ <i>ʾastād</i>	Persian	مدرس <i>mdrs</i>	Teacher	توف <i>twf</i>	Hebrew	جيد <i>ḡyd</i>	Good
أفوكادو <i>ʾfwkādū</i>	French	محامي <i>mḥāmy</i>	lawyer	تليفون <i>tlyfwn</i>	English	هاتف <i>hāṭf</i>	Telephone

Figure: Examples of borrow words from other languages

► Arabic Corpora

Corpus Name	Type	Dialects	Description
PADIC (Parallel Arabic Dialect Corpus)	Parallel	MSA, Algerian, Tunisian, Palestinian, Syrian	The corpus is collected from Algerian chats and conversations which are translated to MSA and then to other dialects.
Multi-dialectal Arabic parallel corpus	Parallel	MSA, Egyptian, Syrian, Palestinian, Tunisian, Jordanian	This corpus is originally build on Egyptian dialects extracted from Egyptian-English corpus. It has been translated to the remaining dialects by four translators
SDC (Shami Dialect Corpus)	Non-parallel	Palestinian, Syrian, Jordanian, Lebanese	The corpus is collected from different sources of social media, blogs, stories and public figures on the Internet.
WikiDoes Corpus	Comparable	MSA, Egyptian	It contains a comparable documents from Wikipedia.

Figure: List of Arabic corpora used to investigate the differences between dialects

Measuring quantitative differences between MSA and DA

- ▶ For parallel corpora: the comparison is at the **document (sentence) level**
- ▶ For comparable and non-parallel corpora: the comparison is at the **corpus level**
- ▶ **Programming language:** Python (Gensim Library)

- ▶ Exploit several methods from Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Information Retrieval (IR)
 - ▶ Vector Space Model (VSM)
 - ▶ Latent Semantic Indexing (LSI)
 - ▶ Hellinger Distance (HD)

- ▶ Exploit several methods from Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Information Retrieval (IR)
 - ▶ Vector Space Model (VSM)
 - ▶ Latent Semantic Indexing (LSI)
 - ▶ Hellinger Distance (HD)
- ▶ Apply different Arabic dialectal corpora

- ▶ Exploit several methods from Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Information Retrieval (IR)
 - ▶ Vector Space Model (VSM)
 - ▶ Latent Semantic Indexing (LSI)
 - ▶ Hellinger Distance (HD)
- ▶ Apply different Arabic dialectal corpora
- ▶ Measure the overlap among all the dialects and compute the frequencies of the most frequent words in every dialect

Lexical Sharing and Overlapping (Jaccard Index)

- ▶ We compute the percentage of vocabularies that overlap between these dialects

$$JaccardIndex(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} \quad (1)$$

Note

- ▶ The Multi-dialect corpus is biased towards the EGY dialect, as EGY was the pivot language when the corpus was built. This is reflected in all the measures used in this study
- ▶ the bias of the pivot language is not reflected between ALG and MSA in the PADIC corpus as these are the least similar varieties

Lexical Sharing and Overlapping (Jaccard Index)

	PADIC				Multi-dialect corpus				
	ALG	TN	SY	PA	EG	JO	TN	SY	PA
MSA	0.1	0.14	0.14	0.19	0.21	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.16
PA	0.13	0.14	0.25		0.23	0.25	0.18	0.24	
SY	0.12	0.16			0.23	0.26	0.18		
TN	0.17				0.18	0.18			
					0.21				

	SDC			WikiDocs corpus	
	LB	JO	SY	MSA	EG
PA	0.15	0.21	0.19	0.1	
SY	0.16	0.2			
JO	0.16				

- ▶ PAL is the most similar to MSA, that coming after the EGY
- ▶ The measurement on the SDC shows a reasonable overlapping across the Levantine dialects
- ▶ In the comparable corpus the overlapping between the MSA and the Egyptian does not exceed the 0.1

Vector Space Model (VSM)

VSM is broken down into three steps

1. **Document indexing** where each document is represented by the content bearing words (document-terms vector)
2. **Term weighting** : employ the frequency of occurrence expressed as a ration between frequency and inverse document frequency (tf-idf)
3. **Similarity coefficient** : cosine similarity is computed between each pair of vectors to indicate a ranking of documents

Latent Semantic Indexing (LSI)

- ▶ Analyzes the documents in order to represent the concepts they contain

Latent Semantic Indexing (LSI)

- ▶ Analyzes the documents in order to represent the concepts they contain
- ▶ Map the vector space into a new compressed space by reducing the dimensions of the terms matrix using Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Latent Semantic Indexing (LSI)

- ▶ Analyzes the documents in order to represent the concepts they contain
- ▶ Map the vector space into a new compressed space by reducing the dimensions of the terms matrix using Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
- ▶ **Method:** We build the model with all the dialects and test it on one dialect in each run. The model outputs the similarity between the test dialect and every dialect used to build the model

Hellinger Distance

- ▶ Hellinger Distance (HD) measures the difference between two probability distributions

- ▶ Hellinger Distance (HD) measures the difference between two probability distributions
- ▶ Method:
 1. **A Bag Of Words BOW** model is used to represent the data from our corpora

Hellinger Distance

- ▶ Hellinger Distance (HD) measures the difference between two probability distributions
- ▶ Method:
 1. **A Bag Of Words BOW** model is used to represent the data from our corpora
 2. **Latent Dirichlet Allocation LDA** gives us a probability distribution over a specified number of unknown topics

- ▶ Hellinger Distance (HD) measures the difference between two probability distributions
- ▶ Method:
 1. **A Bag Of Words BOW** model is used to represent the data from our corpora
 2. **Latent Dirichlet Allocation LDA** gives us a probability distribution over a specified number of unknown topics
 3. **Hellinger Distance HD** is then used to measure the distance between these topics and new documents (dialect)

- ▶ Hellinger Distance (HD) measures the difference between two probability distributions
- ▶ Method:
 1. **A Bag Of Words BOW** model is used to represent the data from our corpora
 2. **Latent Dirichlet Allocation LDA** gives us a probability distribution over a specified number of unknown topics
 3. **Hellinger Distance HD** is then used to measure the distance between these topics and new documents (dialect)
- ▶ **The greater the distance the less the similarity between the dialects and vice versa**

Hellinger Distance

	PADIC				Multi-dialect corpus					
	ALG	TN	SY	PA	EG	JO	TN	SY	PA	
MSA	0.91	0.83	0.77	0.77	MSA	0.01	0.77	0.76	0.78	0.78
PA	0.73	0.64	0.58		PA	0.52	0.34	0.77	0.55	
SY	0.87	0.81			SY	0.53	0.54	0.72		
TN	0.72				TN	0.35	0.69			
					JO	0.51				

	SDC		WikiDocs corpus		
	LB	JO	SY	EG	
PA	0.26	0.18	0.23	MSA	0.73
SY	0.25	0.1			
JO	0.2				

- ▶ PAL and SY are both less dissimilar from MSA compared to the rest of the dialects in PADIC
- ▶ In Multi-dialect corpus, the TN seems to be the closest to MSA
- ▶ In SDC, the JO and the SY dialects are the closest to each other, while the PAL and the LEB dialects are most dissimilar

Frequent words and Correlation Coefficient

- ▶ Extract the 30 most frequent words in each dialect
- ▶ Collect those words that appear in all dialects (10 words)
- ▶ Calculate the **Pearson correlation coefficient** among them in respect to their frequency

NOTE we have **NOT** eliminated stop words from the corpora as these keywords are discriminative and representative for each dialect and hence can be used to build a dialectal lexicon

Frequent words and Correlation Coefficient

	PADIC				SDC	LB	JO	SY
	ALG	TN	SY	PA				
MSA	0.76	0.92	0.67	0.85	PA	0.31	0.42	-0.05
PA	0.97	0.95	0.86		SY	0.13	0.74	
SY	0.83	0.71			JO	0.47		
TN	0.92							

- ▶ The result shows high correlation for the frequent words between the MSA and TN, followed by the PAL dialects in PADIC
- ▶ This sheds the light on the different usage of frequent words cross dialects. **For example** PAL speakers say *عشان* *šān* / "because" while the SY speakers say *منشان* *mnšān*

- ▶ Most of the measurements used indicate that the LEV are in general the closest to MSA, while the North African dialects are the farthest
- ▶ Although the results show some differences due to the nature of the corpora, in general, the results are homogeneous
- ▶ We have shown the degree of convergence between the dialects of the Levant and the linguistic overlap
- ▶ **New Variety:** i.e. an informal writing dialect, which differs from the spoken dialects

- ▶ applying Machine learning methods /Deep learning networks for Fine-Grained Arabic Dialect Identification.
- ▶ depending on the previous study, investigate the usage of Arabic sentiment analyzer on levantine dialects then use SDC to build a sentiment analysis corpus for levantine dialects .
- ▶ try to learn the mapping between MSA vectore embedding and dialects space.